

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
at CHATTANOOGA

ANGELA DENISE WINTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Case No. 1:13-cv-131

Judge Mattice

Magistrate Judge Carter

ORDER

On January 9, 2015, United States Magistrate Judge William B. Carter filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 41) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Carter recommended that Plaintiff's Motion for Costs and Fees be denied.

Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.¹ Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the record in this matter, and it agrees with the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Carter's findings of fact and conclusions of law. Plaintiff's Motion for Costs and Fees (Doc. 24) is hereby **DENIED**.

¹ Magistrate Judge Carter specifically advised Plaintiff that she had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive her right to appeal. (Doc. 41 at 2); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings").

SO ORDERED this 27th day of January, 2015.

/s/ *Harry S. Mattice, Jr.*
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE